

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Lonergan
Given Name	Nick
Person ID	1286555
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Lonergan
Given Name	Nick
Person ID	1286555
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Bamford and Norden have no need for extra housing and certainly not to the extent that our beautiful green belt land be used for it. There are plenty of other unused brownfield sites around Gtr Manchester that should be prioritised. Bamford and Norden already suffer from increased traffic and the road infrastructure simply will not cope with the proposed numbers not to mention the dreaded school run. It is incredibly difficult to get a school place in the locale as things stand. A potential 800-1000 child population increase will compound this and cripple the community. I have lived in the bamford area all of my life and I have also spent many years wandering the wonderful green fields in the summer and winter, and I know from personal experience just how flooded the proposed site can become EVERY year being that it is sat on heavy clay. Any kind of development here would be potentially disastrous for the water table and pose a significant flood risk. No increase in council tax revenue is worth that. Unsound and unjustified.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Remove this area from your proposals